

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/678,175	KONRAD ET AL.
	Examiner Helen Rossoshek	Art Unit 2825

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven Laut (Registration No. 47,736). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,10,29,36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment for claims 1,10,29,36. Agreement with Applicant's representative was reached.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. M. Thompson  
 Primary Examiner  
 Technology Center 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)